

From: [Zucker, Audrey](#)
To: [Casey, Carolyn](#)
Cc: [Wainberg, Daniel](#)
Subject: RE: email to Craig Ziady and schedule approval question
Date: Friday, October 26, 2018 2:00:36 PM
Attachments: [EPA2020.ACOSchedule.Rev \(002\).docx](#)
[Oct 2018 schedule approval.doc](#)

Here are my comments on the schedule approval (see both attachments).

From: Casey, Carolyn
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 2:46 PM
To: Zucker, Audrey <Zucker.Audrey@epa.gov>
Cc: Wainberg, Daniel <Wainberg.Daniel@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: email to Craig Ziady and schedule approval question

Attached is the schedule approval. My question is with the (b) (5).
They added a comment (b) (5).
(b) (5). Does this work or should this be (b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5)?

Draft email for Craig—Maybe I should just leave off (b) (5)?

Craig, It's our understanding that (b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5).

Thank you,
Carolyn

Audrey, (b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5).
(b) (5).

3.4 Compatibility With EPA Administrative Consent Order

EPA has informed the owner of Cummings Center that no Memorandum of Understanding exists between EPA and MassDEP for the Site. Data obtained during the work performed for the ACO will be utilized to respond and address RTN 3-35028, including necessary and appropriate response actions. However, for the purposes of RTN 3-35028, the data will be

evaluated using only the requirements and protocols of the MCP. All submittals arising under and relating to RTN 3-35028 are outside the scope of the ACO and do not require review, comment, or approval by EPA. Although this does not require our review and comment, there is information in here that has not been presented elsewhere so I have no choice but to use this document.

Thanks!
Carolyn

Carolyn J. Casey
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Mail code OSRR 07-3
Boston, MA 02109-3912
P 617-918-1368
F 617-918-0368
casey.carolyn@epa.gov